

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

SIXTH DAY.

Wednesday, 5th August 1959.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI S. R. KANTHI, B.A., LL.B.) in the Chair.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given).

Extent of Atchkat under Ramasagar Tank.

*Q—8. Sri E. NARAYANA GOWDA (Bangarpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the total acreage of atchkat under Ramasagar Tank in Bangarpet Taluk ;

(b) out of the above, the acreage of atchkat under the first and the second channels ;

(c) whether it has come to their notice that the crops grown in the atchkat under the above two channels are drying up for the last fifteen years for lack of sufficient water ;

(d) the acreage of tank bed land which is under unauthorised cultivation ;

(e) the steps taken by them in this behalf ?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) 1,200 acres 8 guntas.

(b) I. Channel 59 acres 31 guntas.

II. Channel 608 acres 17 guntas.

(c) Yes. Crops suffer due to insufficient supply of water from the tank for one month.

(d) 56 acres 34 guntas.

(e) Eviction proceedings have been taken.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಎರಡನೆಯ ಭಾನರ್ ಕೆಳಗೆ 10—15 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಬೆಳೆ ಹಾಳಾ ಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಕೂಡ ಬೆಳೆ ಒಣಗಿಹೋಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ವರದಿಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ನನ್ನ ಹತ್ತಿರ ವರದಿ ಯಿದೆ. ಎರಡು ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ಬೆಳೆ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಲೇಕ್ ಆಗುತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—56 ಎಕರೆ 34 ಗುಂಟೆ ಆಳಭರೈಜ್ ಕಲ್ವವೇಷ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವವರನ್ನು ಉಚ್ಚಾಟನೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಏನು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈ ಕೊಂಡಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ತಕರಾರು ಕಃಪ್ಪೆ ಹಾಕಿ ಫೋಲಿಸಿನವರಿಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಆಳ ಭರೈಜ್ ಕಲ್ವವೇಷ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವವರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂರುಮಂದಿ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಜಮೀನುದಾರರನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಉಳಿದ ಹರಿಜನರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಣ್ಣಪುಟ್ಟ ರೈತರವೇ ಫೋಲಿಸಿನವರ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಫೋಲಿಸಿನವರು ಯಾರವೇ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡರೆಂಬ ವಿವರ ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂತೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಫೋಲಿಸಿನವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛೇದಿ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—In answer to (c) it is stated that crops suffer due to insufficient supply of water from the tank for one month. Day before yesterday the Hon'ble Minister for Public Works said that there is no such insufficient supply of water from the same tank. I want to know which answer is correct.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—These tanks are built for certain capacities and are expected to feed certain areas. Suppose there is no timely rain and consequently no sufficient supply of water in the tank, the crops will suffer, but not on account of the incapacity of the tank to hold water.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I want to know whether the Revenue Minister or the P. W. Minister is correct.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Both are correct. The suffering is not on account of the incapacity of the tank to hold sufficient water but may be due to want of timely rains.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The Revenue Minister said that crops suffer due to insufficient supply of water from the tank for one month. On what is this reply based?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—On the basis of information received.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—In view of the admission of the Government that for over 15 years crops are withering, what action has Government taken and why have they neglected it?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—In some places the achkat is unduly large. In some places the people bring under cultivation lands which it is beyond the capacity of the tank to feed.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—If that is true, has Government taken action to reduce the achkat of the tank?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I am not speaking of unauthorised atchkat. This thing has gone on for a number of years and to reduce the atchkat now is a difficult task.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Is the Government aware that in spite of the tank discharging half-a-dozen times since the last 15 years, the water is insufficient and it could not feed the entire achkat?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The Government is not aware of that situation.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಕೆಲವು ಜೋಡಿ ದಾರರು ಕೆರೆಯ ಅಡ್ಡ ಕಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳು

ತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿ
ಯೇ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—No, I have given reasons, because of unauthorised achkat and because of insufficiency of water.

— — —

Assessment of Agricultural Income-Tax.

*Q.—15. **Sri B. G. KHOT** (Sadalga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the particular procedure followed in assessing the agricultural income-tax in respect of groundnuts;

(b) the number of persons who have raised money crops in more than the exempted area in respect of each tiem under the agricultural income-tax in Chikodi Taluka, Belgaum District, during the last year;

(c) the number of persons who have been served with notices for assessing the said tax in the said taluk?

A.—**Sri T. MARIAPPA** (Minister for Finance).—

(a) No separate procedure is prescribed for assessing the Agricultural Income Tax in respect of groundnuts. The procedure prescribed in respect of all commercial crops is laid down in Section 7 of the Mysore Agricultural Income Tax Act, 1957, and Rule 9 of the Mysore Agricultural Income Tax Rules, 1957, and the same is followed. The extracts of relevant provisions are reproduced below:

“7. Method of accounting.—Agricultural income shall be computed for the purpose of Section 5 and 6 in accordance with the method of accounting regularly employed by the assessee:

Provided that, if no method of accounting has been regularly employed by the assessee, or if the method employed is such that, in the opinion of the Agricultural Income Tax Officer, the agricultural income cannot properly be deduced therefrom, then the